

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Introduction	1
II.	The Court Fundamentally Misunderstands the Allegations Against the KG Defendants	4
III.	The Order Improperly Affords Special Powers To SS-Japan, SS-USA and Goldman Sachs	6
	A. Applying Japanese Law, The Court is Manifestly In Error Concluding That Goldman Sachs or Plaintiffs Acquired Special Rights To Pursue KG From The Bankruptcy Trustee.....	6
	1. The Law of Japan Is Controlling	6
	2. The Order Misapplies Japan Law	7
	B. Applying U.S. Law, The Court is Manifestly In Error Concluding That Goldman Sachs or Plaintiffs Acquired Special Rights To Pursue KG From The Bankruptcy Trustee.....	9
	C. <u>Scholes</u> and <u>O'Melveny</u> Do Not Support The Court's Legal Conclusions	12
IV.	The "Sole Owner Rule" Should Be Applied As A Matter Of Law	15
	A. Toshio and His Sons Had Complete Ownership of SS-Japan	15
	B. Toshio Alone Controlled The SS Companies, Notwithstanding His Sons' Ownership Interest.....	16
	C. SS-Japan, And Therefore Toshio, Owned 100% of SS-USA.....	18
	D. SS-USA, and Therefore Toshio, Owned 100% of SS-Hawaii, etc.....	18
	E. There is No Dispute that Toshio Approved The Sale of the Hawaii Assets To KG.....	19
	F. Toshio's Control is Sufficient to Invoke the Sole Owner Rule As a Matter of Law	19
V.	KG Disputes The Underlying Debts In This Case	20

TABLE OF CONTENTS (cont'd)

VI. The Plaintiff's Officers All Acted to Effectuate the Sale of the Mililani Property. The Transaction Was Not "Secret".....	22
VII. The Sale of the Sewage Treatment Plant Was Part of the Same Transaction as the Sale of the Other Hawaii Properties To KG.....	23
VIII. Conclusion	25

TABLE OF AUTHORITIESCASES

<u>British Airways Bd. v. Boeing Co.</u> , 585 F.2d 946 (9 th Cir. 1978)	19
<u>Camerer v. California Sav. & Commercial Bank</u> , 48 P.2d 39 (Cal. 1935)	14
<u>F.D.I.C. v. O'Melveny & Myers</u> , 61 F.3d 17 (9 th Cir. 1995)	13-14
<u>Hays & Co., v. Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith, Inc.</u> , 885 F.2d 1149, (3d Cir. 1989).....	10
<u>In re Leasing Consultants</u> , 592 F.2d 103 (2d Cir. 1978)	10
<u>In re Mediators</u> , 105 F.3d 822 (2d Cir. 1997).....	19-20
<u>In re Personal and Business Ins. Agency</u> , 334 F.3d 239 (3d Cir. 2003)	10
<u>Kim v. Co-op. Centrale Raiffeisen-Boerenleebank B.A.</u> , 364 F.Supp. 2d 346 (S.D.N.Y. 2005)	8
<u>McKesson HBOC, Inc. v. Islamic Republic of Iran</u> , 271 F.3d 1101 (D.C. Cir. 2001)	8
<u>Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors v. R.F. Lafferty & Co.</u> , 267 F.3d 340 (3d Cir. 2001)	10, 11
<u>Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors of PSA, Inc. v. Edwards</u> , 437 F.3d 1145 (11 th Cir. 2006).....	11
<u>Official Comm. of the Unsecured Creditors of Color Tile, Inc. v. Coopers & Lybrand, LLP</u> , 322 F.3d 147 (2 nd Cir. 2003).....	19-20
<u>Scholes v. Lehmann</u> , 56 F.3d 750 (7 th Cir.), cert. denied, 516 U.S. 1028 (1995)	12-14

STATUTES

11 U.S.C. § 541	9-11
11 U.S.C. § 544(b)	10, 11

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES (cont'd)

11 U.S.C. § 548.....	10, 11
HRS § 247-1.....	24
HRS Ch. 651C.....	5

OTHER AUTHORITIES

37 Am. Jur. 2d Fraudulent Conveyances and Transfers § 131.....	13
F.R.Civ.P. 12(b)	21
F.R.Civ.P. 44.1	8
F.R.Civ.P. 56.....	21, 26
Japan Corporate Reorganization Law, Japan Law No. 172, June 7, 1952	1, 7
Collier on Bankruptcy ¶ 323.02[4]	10